MONTHLY PERFORM	THLY PERFORMANCE REPORT: SEPTEMBER 2009														O STATUS COI & TARGETED O	LOURING QUARTILE COLO	DURING	KEYS				
CURRENT STATUS														GREEN:				~	Interim figure, still to be	validated		
G 16	50.0% 6	18.8%			R	9	28.1%			NO	DATA OR N/A	. 1	3.1%	Overall performance on or exceed Top or Upper Median Quartile	ing target							
MONTH ON MONTH TREND														AMBER:								
† 11	34.4%	15.6%			\	14	43.8%			NO	DATA OR N/A	. 2	6.3%	Overall performance within range Lower Median Quartile	stated in "Targe	t Tolerances" col	umn					
YEAR ON YEAR TREND														RED:								
† 10	\	11	34.4%			NO	DATA OR N/A	. 10	31.3%	Overall performance outside the s Bottom Quartile	ated "Target To	olerances"										
Please contact Dale Robertso	on Ext 7110, if you require further information or support	ort	1 1		1 1		1		1	1	1	ı	1	T			T	- T	1	Π		
ID	NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	ANNUAL TARGET	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR	NBC 08/09 OUTTUR & QUARTILE POSTION	N NBC 07/08 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION	
Neighbourhood Environment	al Services [Simone Wade]					G	3		A	2		R	0	No data or n/a	0							
↓ NI 191	Number of kilograms of residual household waste collected per household	46	41	40	41	41	45							254kg	495kg	253kg	+15kg	\	New NI	2009/10 - No compai	able data	
1 NI 192	Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting	40.91	41.77	44.77	41.49	39.71	38.56							41.20%	40.00%	42.81%	5%	\	44.05%	37.88%	38.74%	
↑ LI 105 (previously ELPI 5)	Percentage of fly-tips removed in 2 working days	99.86	100	100	100	100	99.80							99.94%	97.00%		5%	\	↑ 99.78%	99.82%	99.83%	
LI 784 (previously ELPI 6)	Number of missed refuse collections per 734,350 collections made	239	74	143	127	111	193							887	2,100	1,058	5%	\	Change in calcula	ation methodology - N	o comparable data	
↑ LI 785 (previously ELPI 10)	Percentage of missed collections put right within 24 hours	100	100	100	100	100	100							100%	100%		2% points	\leftrightarrow	↔ 100%	100%	98.92%	
Public Protection [Steve Else	yl					<u>6</u>	2		A	0		B	2	No data or n/a	0							
↓ BV 126	Domestic burglaries per year per 1,000 households in local authority area	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.1	1.2							8.6	15.0	7.5	5%	\	↑ 9.1	20.7 Bottom	20.9 Bottom	
↓ BV 127a	Violent crime per year, per 1,000 population	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.0							13.1	22.9	11.5	5%	↑	11.9	23.6 Bottom	26.8 Bottom	
↓ BV 127b	Robberies per year, per 1,000 population	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2							1.2	2.7	1.3	5%	\leftrightarrow	1.1	2.5 Bottom	2.7 Bottom	
↓ BV 128	The number of vehicle crimes per year, per 1,000 population in the local authority area	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0							5.9	14.8	7.4	5%	\	↑ 7.9	13.9 Bottom	16.2 Bottom	
Planning [Sue Bridge]						<u> </u>	4		A	0		B	0	No data or n/a	1	-	1					
↑ NI 157a LM	Percentage of "large scale major" planning applications determined within 13 weeks	No applications	No applications	No applications	No applications	No applications	No applications	;						No applications	0%		5%	No comparable data	First full year of reporting - No comparable data	100%	No comparable data	
↑ NI 157a SM	Percentage of "small scale major" planning applications determined within 13 weeks	100	No applications	No applications	No applications	100	No applications							100%	60.00%		5%	No comparable data	First full year of reporting - No comparable data	54.55%	No comparable data	
NI 157b (previously BV109b)	Percentage of "minor" planning applications determined within 8 weeks	100	100	94.74	88.89	86.67	100							94.57%	65.00%		2% points	↑	\$ 95.42%	92.19% Top	BV109b 87.42% Top	
NI 157c (previously BV 109c)	Percentage of "other" planning applications determined within 8 weeks	100	87.80	98.53	89.04	94.00	98.46							94.94%	80.00%		2% points	1	J 95.37%	95.70% Top	BV109c 95.21% Top	
↑ LI 541 (Previously PLI 188)	The number of decisions delegated to officers as a percentage of all decisions	100	100	96.55	97.80	100	97.47							98.44%	90.00%		2% points	\	↑ 96.15%	96.07% Top	94.77% Top	

Monthly Performance 09-10 [updated 23/10/2009 @ 11:37]

MON	THLY PERFORMANCE REPORT: SEPTEMBER 2009														KEY TO KEY TO QUARTILE 8	EEYS							
CURRE	NT STATUS															GREEN:				~	Interim figure, still to I	pe validated	
<u></u>	16	50.0%) 6 1	8.8%			R	9	28.1%			NC	DATA OR N/A	1	3.1%	Overall performance on or exceeding Top or Upper Median Quartile	ing target						
монтн	ON MONTH TREND															AMBER:							
↑	11 34.4%						14	43.8%			NC	DATA OR N/A	2	6.3%	Overall performance within range s Lower Median Quartile	stated in "Targe	t Tolerances" co	lumn					
YEAR ON YEAR TREND															RED:								
↑ 10 31.3%							11	34.4%			NC	DATA OR N/A	10	31.3%	Overall performance outside the st Bottom Quartile	tated "Target To	lerances"						
Please	contact Dale Roberts	son Ext 7110, if you require further informa	ation or suppor	rt				I		1	1							1				T	
	ID	NAME		APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	ANNUAL TARGET	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR	& QUARTILE	RN NBC 07/08 OUT & QUARTIL POSTION
luman	Resources [Catherine	ne Wilson]						<u>G</u>	0		A	0		B	2	No data or n/a	0						
↓ E	3V 12	The number of working days/shifts lost du sickness absence	ue to	0.96	0.83	1.03	1.15	0.92	0.96							5.85 Days	11.00 Days	5.50 Days	5%	\	↑ 6.12 Days	12.86 Days Bottom	11.89 Days Bottom
	BV 12r Roling 12 months)	The average number of working days/shi sickness absence for rolling 12 month pe		12.99	12.84	12.86	12.97	12.99	12.87							12.92 Days	11.00 Days		5%	↑	New measure with	rolling cumulative figures	s - No comparable d
inance	e & Assets [Gavin Cha	nambers]	<u>.</u>					<u>6</u>	0		A	1		B	0	No data or n/a							
↑ E	3V 8	The percentage of invoices for commercial services paid by the authority within 30 direceived		97.00	93.88	94.69	96.08	94.17	92.96							94.06%	95.00%		2% points	↓	↑ 94.12%	94.38% Lower Median	91.51% Lower Medi
levenu	es & Benefits [Robin	n Bates]						<u>©</u>	3		<u>(^)</u>	3			3	No data or n/a	0						
1	ll 180	Changes to Housing Benefit/Council Tax entilements within year	Benefit	290.0	469.9	109.5	90.5	96.0	102.1							1,122.9	940.5	470.3	5%	New NI 2009/10 - No comparable data			
† In	JI 181	Time taken to process Housing Benefit/C new claims/changes	Council Tax	15.9	15.3	14.4	15.6	11.5	12.0							14.2 Days	14.0 Days		2 Days	\	New	NI 2009/10 - No compar	rable data
↑ E	3V 9	Percentage of council tax received in the	year	11.25	9.01	9.24	9.23	8.99	9.25							57.18%	97.50%	57.70%	0.5% points	↑	\$ 58.76%	96.94% Lower Median	97.95% Upper Media
↑ E	3V 10	% of non domestic rates due for the year received by the authority	which were	11.62	9.55	10.18	9.69	9.73	9.08							60.25%	99.50%	60.00%	0.5% points	\	60.72%	99.12% Top	99.79% Top
↑ E	3V 76c	Housing Benefit Security: the number of finvestigations	fraud	76	52	71	57	96	99							451	950	495	5%	1	 511	949	847
↑ E	3V 76d	Housing Benefit Security: the number of pand sanctions	prosecutions	10	7	10	7	8	8							50	87	44	5%	\leftrightarrow	↑ 43	91	74
↓ E	8V 78a	Speed of Processing: Average time for prinew claims	rocessing	23.5	21.8	18.9	19.4	15.9	16.7							19.5 Days	19 Days		2 Days	↓	↓ 16.0 Days	16.1 Days Top	23.8 Days Upper Medi
↓ E	3V 78b	Speed of Processing: Average time for pr notifications of change in circumstances	rocessing	11.8	12.1	12.2	13.7	9.5	10.3							11.6 Days	8 Days		1 Day	↓ ·	↓ 8.0 Days	8.0 Days Upper Median	10.9 Days Lower Medi
	I 364 Previously BEN LPI 1)	Percentage of cases from complete to de within 14 days	etermined	84.10	84.71	90.62	92.67	95.13	97.12							90.43%	92.00%		2% points	1	J 97.87%	96.82%	86.74%
uston	er Services & ICT [Ma	flarion Goodman]						<u>G</u>	1		A	0		B	0	No data or n/a	0	•					
↓ N	JI 14	The percentage of customer contact that 'Avoidable'	was	16.7	12.2	19.0	11.5	13.9	11.7							15.0.%	50.0%		10%	↑	New	NI 2009/10 - No compar	rable data

Monthly Performance 09-10 [updated 23/10/2009 @ 11:37]

MONTH	LY PERF	ORMA	NCE REPORT: SEPTEMB	BER 2009														KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE & TARGETED QUARTILE COLOURING					KEYS				
CURRENT	STATUS																GREEN:			~ Interim figure, still to be validated							
<u>©</u>	3 16 50.0%														Overall performance on or exceed Top or Upper Median Quartile	ding target											
MONTH ON	NTH ON MONTH TREND													AMBER:													
↑	11		34.4%	← 5 15.6% ↓ 14 43.8% NO DATA OR N/A 2 6.3%										6.3%	Overall performance within range Lower Median Quartile	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column Lower Median Quartile											
YEAR ON Y	AR ON YEAR TREND														RED:												
1	↑ 10 31.3% ↔ 1 3.1% ↓ 11 34.4% NO DATA OR N/A 10 31.3%											Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile															
Please con	e contact Dale Robertson Ext 7110, if you require further information or support														-				<u></u>								
	ID		NAME		APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	ANNUAL TARGET	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR	NBC 08/09 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION	NBC 07/08 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION			
Landlord S	ervices [CI	hristine Ar	nsell]						G	0		A	0		B	2	No data or n/a 0										
↑ HI 1 (prev	riously BV 6		Rent collected by the local authority rents owed on HRA dwellings	/ as a proportion o	of 93.06	94.56	97.28	94.12	102.99	93.89							96.06%	97.50%		1% point	\	↑ 95.44%	96.26% Bottom	96.76% Bottom			
↓ HI 3	riously BV 6	66d)	Number of tenants evicted as a res	ult of rent arrears	0	8	6	1	3	3							21	36	18	1 per quarter	\leftrightarrow	↑ 32	55	26			
Housing No	eeds & Sup	port [Fran	n Rodgers]				•	•	G	3	•	A	0	•	R	0	No data or n/a 0										
↓ NI 15	56		Number of households living in Ten Accomodation	nporary	25	22	17	12	6	5							5	25	40	5%	New NI 2009/10 - No comparable data		ble data				
↓ HI 6	riously BV 2	212)	Average time taken to re-let local at	uthority homes	33	24	25	28	21	19							25 Days	25 Days	25 Days	5%	↑	↑ 28 Days	29 Days Upper Median	34 Days Lower Median			
HI 15 (prev	5 riously LHP	I 183a)	The average length of stay in bed a accommodation of households that homeless and in priority need (work	are unintentional	ly 0	0	0	0	0	0							0 Days	5 Days	4.46 Days	20%	\leftrightarrow	Previously reported quarterly - No comparable data	1.68 weeks Upper Mediian	1 week Top			

Monthly Performance 09-10 [updated 23/10/2009 @ 11:37]